

# One-Variable Lattice-Valued Logics

PETR CINTULA<sup>1</sup>, GEORGE METCALFE<sup>2</sup>, AND NAOMI TOKUDA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Computer Science of the Czech Academy of Sciences,  
cintula@cs.cas.cz

<sup>2</sup> Mathematical Institute, University of Bern, Switzerland  
{george.metcalfe,naomi.tokuda}@unibe.ch

The *one-variable fragment* of any first-order logic yields an “S5-like” modal logic, obtained by replacing each occurrence of an atom  $P(x)$  with a propositional variable  $p$ , and  $(\forall x)$  and  $(\exists x)$  with  $\Box$  and  $\Diamond$ , respectively. The first-order semantics typically induces a relational semantics for this modal logic, but finding an axiomatization for its algebraic semantics is hindered by the fact that an axiomatization of the one-variable fragment cannot be directly extracted from an axiomatization of the full logic. Nevertheless, axiomatizations have been obtained in certain well-known cases. Monadic Boolean algebras [12] and monadic Heyting algebras [3, 14] correspond to the one-variable fragments of first-order classical logic and intuitionistic logic, respectively. More generally, varieties of monadic Heyting algebras corresponding to one-variable fragments of first-order intermediate logics have been investigated in [1, 2, 4–6, 15, 17, 18]. One-variable fragments of some first-order many-valued logics have also been studied in some depth; notably, monadic MV-algebras [7, 10, 16] and monadic Abelian  $\ell$ -groups [13] correspond to the one-variable fragments of first-order Łukasiewicz logic and Abelian logic, respectively.

In [9], we initiate a general approach to addressing this axiomatization problem. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be an algebraic signature containing binary operations  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$ , and consider the sets  $\text{Fm}_{\forall}^1(\mathcal{L})$  of (*first-order*) *one-variable  $\mathcal{L}$ -formulas* (with quantifiers  $\forall$  and  $\exists$ ) and  $\text{Fm}_{\Box}(\mathcal{L})$  of *propositional modal formulas* (with modalities  $\Box$  and  $\Diamond$ ), denoting by  $(-)^*$  the standard translation function from  $\text{Fm}_{\forall}^1(\mathcal{L})$  to  $\text{Fm}_{\Box}(\mathcal{L})$ . Members of both  $\text{Fm}_{\forall}^1(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\text{Fm}_{\Box}(\mathcal{L})$  are interpreted using semantics based on algebraic structures for the signature  $\mathcal{L}$  with a lattice reduct, called  *$\mathcal{L}$ -lattices*. For  $\text{Fm}_{\forall}^1(\mathcal{L})$ , we define structures over complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -lattices and interpret the quantifiers  $\forall$  and  $\exists$  as infima and suprema. For  $\text{Fm}_{\Box}(\mathcal{L})$ , we call an algebraic structure  $\langle \mathbf{A}, \Box, \Diamond \rangle$  an  *$m$ - $\mathcal{L}$ -lattice* if  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -lattice and  $\Box, \Diamond$  are unary operations satisfying

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\text{L1}_{\Box}) & \Box x \wedge x \approx \Box x & (\text{L1}_{\Diamond}) & \Diamond x \vee x \approx \Diamond x \\ (\text{L2}_{\Box}) & \Box(x \wedge y) \approx \Box x \wedge \Box y & (\text{L2}_{\Diamond}) & \Diamond(x \vee y) \approx \Diamond x \vee \Diamond y \\ (\text{L3}_{\Box}) & \Box \Diamond x \approx \Diamond x & (\text{L3}_{\Diamond}) & \Diamond \Box x \approx \Box x, \end{array}$$

and for each  $n$ -ary operation symbol  $\star$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ ,

$$(\star_{\Box}) \quad \Box(\star(\Box x_1, \dots, \Box x_n)) \approx \star(\Box x_1, \dots, \Box x_n).$$

For any class  $\mathcal{K}$  of complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -lattices, semantical sentential consequence  $\models_{\mathcal{K}}^{\forall}$  is defined over  $\text{Fm}_{\forall}^1(\mathcal{L})$ -equations, i.e., formal expressions of the form  $\varphi \approx \psi$  where  $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Fm}_{\forall}^1(\mathcal{L})$ . Similarly, for any class  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $m$ - $\mathcal{L}$ -lattices, semantical consequence  $\models_{\mathcal{M}}$  is defined over  $\text{Fm}_{\Box}(\mathcal{L})$ -equations.

Observe now that any complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -lattice  $\mathbf{A}$  and set  $W$  yields an  $m$ - $\mathcal{L}$ -lattice  $\langle \mathbf{A}^W, \Box, \Diamond \rangle$ , that we call *full functional*, where the operations of  $\mathbf{A}^W$  are defined pointwise and for each  $f \in A^W$  and  $u \in W$ ,

$$\Box f(u) = \bigwedge_{v \in W} f(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \Diamond f(u) = \bigvee_{v \in W} f(v).$$

We also call an  $m$ - $\mathcal{L}$ -lattice *functional* if it embeds into a full functional  $m$ - $\mathcal{L}$ -lattice.

Given any class  $\mathcal{K}$  of complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -lattices, let  $\mathcal{K}_f$  denote the class of all full functional m- $\mathcal{L}$ -lattices  $\langle \mathbf{A}^W, \square, \diamond \rangle$  with  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ . It follows easily that for any set of  $\text{Fm}_{\forall}^1(\mathcal{L})$ -equations  $T \cup \{\varphi \approx \psi\}$  (lifting the translation  $*$  to sets of  $\text{Fm}_{\forall}^1(\mathcal{L})$ -equations in the obvious way),

$$T \models_{\mathcal{K}}^{\forall} \varphi \approx \psi \iff T^* \models_{\mathcal{K}_f} \varphi^* \approx \psi^*.$$

The general problem addressed here is to provide an (elegant) axiomatization of the generalized quasivariety of m- $\mathcal{L}$ -lattices generated by  $\mathcal{K}_f$ : that is, the class of *all* m- $\mathcal{L}$ -lattices  $\mathbf{M}$  satisfying  $T^* \models_{\mathbf{M}} \varphi^* \approx \psi^*$  whenever  $T \models_{\mathcal{K}}^{\forall} \varphi \approx \psi$  for a set of  $\text{Fm}_{\forall}^1(\mathcal{L})$ -equations  $T \cup \{\varphi \approx \psi\}$ . In this work, we solve this problem for the case where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the class of complete members of a variety that satisfies two natural algebraic properties.

Given any class  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ -lattices, let  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$  denote the class of complete members of  $\mathcal{K}$  and let  $m\mathcal{K}$  denote the class of m- $\mathcal{L}$ -lattices  $\langle \mathbf{A}, \square, \diamond \rangle$  with  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ . Following closely the proof of the same result for monadic Heyting algebras given in [2], we obtain a general *functional representation theorem* that gives sufficient conditions on  $\mathcal{K}$  for all algebras in  $m\mathcal{K}$  to be functional. Recall that a class  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ -lattices

- (i) admits *regular completions* if for any  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ , there exist a  $\mathbf{B} \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}$  and an embedding  $f: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  that preserves all existing meets and joins of  $\mathbf{A}$ ;
- (ii) has the *superamalgamation property* if for any  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{B}_2 \in \mathcal{K}$  and embeddings  $f_1: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_1$ ,  $f_2: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ , there exist a  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{K}$  and embeddings  $g_1: \mathbf{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ,  $g_2: \mathbf{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  such that  $g_1 \circ f_1 = g_2 \circ f_2$  and for any  $b_1 \in B_1$ ,  $b_2 \in B_2$  and distinct  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  such that  $g_i(b_i) \leq g_j(b_j)$ , there exists an  $a \in A$  satisfying  $g_i(b_i) \leq g_i \circ f_i(a) = g_j \circ f_j(a) \leq g_j(b_j)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a class of  $\mathcal{L}$ -lattices that is closed under subalgebras and direct limits, admits regular completions, and has the superamalgamation property. Then every member of  $m\mathcal{K}$  is functional.*

Combing this functional representation theorem with our previous observation regarding the relationship between consequence in a class of complete  $\mathcal{L}$ -lattices and the corresponding class of full functional m- $\mathcal{L}$ -lattices, we obtain the following result:

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a variety of  $\mathcal{L}$ -lattices that admits regular completions and has the superamalgamation property. Then for any set  $T \cup \{\varphi \approx \psi\}$  of  $\text{Fm}_{\forall}^1(\mathcal{L})$ -equations,*

$$T \models_{\mathcal{V}}^{\forall} \varphi \approx \psi \iff T^* \models_{m\mathcal{V}} \varphi^* \approx \psi^*.$$

In particular, when  $\mathcal{V}$  is the variety of Boolean algebras or Heyting algebras, both of which admit regular completions and have the superamalgamation property,  $m\mathcal{V}$  is the variety of monadic Boolean algebras [12] or monadic Heyting algebras [14], respectively, and Corollary 1 yields well-known completeness results for the one-variable fragments of first-order classical logic and intuitionistic logic.

Further examples can be taken from the class of substructural logics (see, e.g., [11]). In particular, letting  $\mathcal{L}_s$  be a signature with binary connectives  $\vee, \wedge, \cdot$ , and  $\rightarrow$ , and constant symbols  $f$  and  $e$ , an  $\text{FL}_e$ -algebra is an  $\mathcal{L}_s$ -lattice  $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \vee, \wedge, \cdot, \rightarrow, f, e \rangle$  such that  $\langle A, \cdot, e \rangle$  is a commutative monoid and  $\rightarrow$  is the residuum of  $\cdot$ , i.e.,  $a \cdot b \leq c \iff a \leq b \rightarrow c$  for all  $a, b, c \in A$ . Let us denote by  $\mathcal{FL}_e$  the variety of  $\text{FL}_e$ -algebras and by  $\mathcal{FL}_{ew}$  and  $\mathcal{FL}_{ec}$  the subvarieties of  $\text{FL}_e$ -algebras satisfying  $f \leq x \leq e$  and  $x \leq x \cdot x$ , respectively, noting that  $\mathcal{FL}_{ew} \cap \mathcal{FL}_{ec}$  is term-equivalent to the variety of Heyting algebras. Since these varieties are closed under MacNeille completions and have the superamalgamation property (see, e.g., [11]), Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 yield the following result:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{V} \in \{\mathcal{FL}_e, \mathcal{FL}_{ew}, \mathcal{FL}_{ec}\}$ . Then any member of  $m\mathcal{V}$  is functional and for any set  $T \cup \{\varphi, \psi\}$  of  $\text{Fm}_{\mathcal{V}}^1(\mathcal{L}_s)$ -equations,*

$$T \models_{\mathcal{V}}^{\forall} \varphi \approx \psi \iff T \models_{m\mathcal{V}} \varphi^* \approx \psi^*.$$

Note also that it was proved in [8] that a variety of  $\text{FL}_e$ -algebras axiomatized relative to  $\mathcal{FL}_e$  by “ $\mathcal{N}_2$ -equations” (i.e., equations of a certain simple syntactic form) is closed under MacNeille completions if and only if it has an analytic sequent calculus of a certain form. It is also known that a variety of  $\text{FL}_e$ -algebras has the superamalgamation property if and only if it has the Craig interpolation property (see, e.g., [11]); however, a precise characterization of which varieties of  $\text{FL}_e$ -algebras (even those with an analytic sequent calculus) have these properties is not known.

## References

- [1] G. Bezhanishvili. Varieties of monadic Heyting algebras - part I. *Studia Logica*, 61(3):367–402, 1998.
- [2] G. Bezhanishvili and J. Harding. Functional monadic Heyting algebras. *Algebra Universalis*, 48:1–10, 2002.
- [3] R. Bull. MIPC as formalisation of an intuitionist concept of modality. *J. Symb. Log.*, 31:609–616, 1966.
- [4] X. Caicedo, G. Metcalfe, R. Rodríguez, and J. Rogger. Decidability in order-based modal logics. *J. Comput. System Sci.*, 88:53–74, 2017.
- [5] X. Caicedo, G. Metcalfe, R. Rodríguez, and O. Tuyt. One-variable fragments of intermediate logics over linear frames. *Inform. and Comput.*, 287, 2022.
- [6] X. Caicedo and R. Rodríguez. Bi-modal Gödel logic over  $[0,1]$ -valued Kripke frames. *J. Logic Comput.*, 25(1):37–55, 2015.
- [7] D. Castaño, C. Cimadamore, J. Varela, and L. Rueda. Completeness for monadic fuzzy logics via functional algebras. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 407:161–174, 2021.
- [8] A. Ciabattoni, N. Galatos, and K. Terui. Algebraic proof theory for substructural logics: Cut-elimination and completions. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, 163(3):266–290, 2012.
- [9] P. Cintula, G. Metcalfe, and N. Tokuda. Algebraic semantics for one-variable lattice-valued logics. *Proc. AiML 2022*, to appear.
- [10] A. di Nola and R. Grigolia. On monadic  $MV$ -algebras. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, 128(1-3):125–139, 2004.
- [11] N. Galatos, P. Jipsen, T. Kowalski, and H. Ono. *Residuated Lattices: An Algebraic Glimpse at Substructural Logics*. Elsevier, 2007.
- [12] P. Halmos. Algebraic logic, I. Monadic Boolean algebras. *Compos. Math.*, 12:217–249, 1955.
- [13] G. Metcalfe and O. Tuyt. A monadic logic of ordered abelian groups. In *Proc. AiML 2020*, volume 13 of *Advances in Modal Logic*, pages 441–457. College Publications, 2020.
- [14] A. Monteiro and O. Varsavsky. Algebras de Heyting monádicas. *Actas de las X Jornadas de la Unión Matemática Argentina, Bahía Blanca*, pages 52–62, 1957.
- [15] H. Ono and N.-Y. Suzuki. Relations between intuitionistic modal logics and intermediate predicate logics. *Rep. Math. Logic*, 22:65–87, 1988.
- [16] J. Rutledge. *A preliminary investigation of the infinitely many-valued predicate calculus*. PhD thesis, Cornell University, Ithaca, 1959.
- [17] N.-Y. Suzuki. An algebraic approach to intuitionistic modal logics in connection with intermediate predicate logics. *Studia Logica*, pages 141–155, 1989.
- [18] N.-Y. Suzuki. Kripke bundles for intermediate predicate logics and Kripke frames for intuitionistic modal logics. *Studia Logica*, 49(3):289–306, 1990.