

Structural completeness and lattice of extensions in many-valued logics with rational constants

Joan Gispert
with Z.Haniková, T.Moraschini and M.Stronkowski

Facultat de Matemàtiques i Informàtica. Universitat de Barcelona
jgispertb@ub.edu

LATD 2022

Paestum, 5-10 September 2022

Łukasiewicz, Gödel and Product logics

- $\mathbf{Ł}_\infty$ Infinite valued Łukasiewicz logic, finite valued $\mathbf{Ł}_n$ (1920)
- \mathbf{G} Gödel-Dummett Logic (1959), finite valued G_n (1932)
- \mathbf{P} Product logic (1996)

Common frame:

- Fuzzy logics
- Algebraizable logics

Axiomatic Extensions of Basic fuzzy logic

- $L_\infty = BL + \neg\neg\varphi \rightarrow \varphi$
- $G = BL + \varphi \rightarrow \varphi * \varphi$
- $P = BL + \neg(\varphi \wedge \neg\varphi) + \neg\varphi \vee ((\varphi \rightarrow \varphi * \psi) \rightarrow \psi)$
- L_∞ , G and P have some type of strong standard completeness theorem
- L_∞ is algebraizable and the class MVA of all MV-algebras is its equivalent (quasi)variety semantics.
- G is algebraizable and the class GA of all Gödel algebras is its equivalent (quasi)variety semantics.
- P is algebraizable and the class PA of all Product algebras is its equivalent (quasi)variety semantics.

Algebraic logic

Deductive Systems \longleftrightarrow Quasivarieties

$L \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{K}$

$\langle Prop(X), \vdash_L \rangle \longleftrightarrow \langle Eq(X), \models_{\mathbb{K}} \rangle$

Axiomatic Extensions \longleftrightarrow (Relative) Varieties

$L_{AE}(L) \cong L_{(R)\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{K})$

Finitary Extensions of $L \longleftrightarrow$ Quasivarieties of \mathbb{K}

$L_{FE}(L) \cong L_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbb{K})$

Admissibility Theory

A rule $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_{n-1} / \varphi$ is **L -admissible** in L iff for each substitution σ , $\vdash_L \sigma(\psi_i)$ for every $i < n$ implies $\vdash_L \sigma(\varphi)$.

A logic L is **structurally complete** iff every admissible rule is a derivable rule.

A logic is **hereditarily** structurally complete iff every extension is structurally complete.

Every logic L admits a unique structural completion L^+

L is structurally complete $\iff \mathbb{K} = Q(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbb{K}}(\omega))$

L is hereditarily structurally complete $\iff L_{(R)V}(\mathbb{K}) = L_Q(\mathbb{K})$

Łukasiewicz logic

- $MVA = V([0, 1]_L) = V([0, 1]_L \cap \mathbb{Q}) = Q([0, 1]_L) = Q([0, 1]_L \cap \mathbb{Q})$.
Every finite valued logic \mathbb{L}_n is an axiomatic extension of \mathbb{L}_∞ .
Proper consistent axiomatic extension of L_∞ are given by

$$\{\mathbb{L}_m \mid m \in I\} \cup \{\mathbb{L}_n^\omega \mid n \in J\}$$

where I and J are finite subsets of integers ≥ 1 , not both empty.
 $L_V(MVA)$ is a countable infinite Pseudo-Boolean algebra.

- \mathbb{L}_∞ is not structurally complete.
Structurally complete axiomatic extensions of \mathbb{L}_∞ are \mathbb{L}_2 and \mathbb{L}_2^ω .
 $L_Q(MVA)$ is as complicated as it can be.
 MVA is Q -universal. i.e. For every quasivariety \mathbb{K} of finite language,
 $L_Q(\mathbb{K}) \in HS(L_Q(MVA))$

Gödel logic

- $GA = V([0, 1]_G) = V([0, 1]_G \cap \mathbb{Q}) = Q([0, 1]_G) = Q([0, 1]_G \cap \mathbb{Q})$.
 Proper axiomatic extensions of G are exactly finite valued Gödel logics G_n
 $L_V(GA)$ is isomorphic to the chain $\omega + 1$.
- G is hereditarily structurally complete.
 $L_Q(GA) = L_V(GA)$ is isomorphic to the chain $\omega + 1$.

Product logic

- $PA = V([0, 1]_P) = V([0, 1]_P \cap \mathbb{Q}) = Q([0, 1]_P) = Q([0, 1]_P \cap \mathbb{Q})$.
 P has a unique consistent proper axiomatic extension, namely CPC.
 $L_V(PA)$ is a 3-element chain $\{TA, BA, PA\}$.

- P is hereditarily structurally complete.
 $L_Q(PA) = L_V(PA)$ is a 3-element chain $\{TA, BA, PA\}$.

Rational expansions

Let $C = \{c_q : q \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}\}$ be a set of new propositional constants.

Rational Łukasiewicz logic **RŁ** (Rational Gödel logic **RG**, Rational Product logic **RP**) is the expansion of $\mathbf{L}_\infty(G, P)$ with the constants in C and the following bookkeeping axioms:

$$(c_q \cdot c_r) \leftrightarrow c_{q*r} \quad (c_q \rightarrow c_r) \leftrightarrow c_{q \Rightarrow r} \quad c_0 \leftrightarrow \perp \quad c_1 \leftrightarrow \top$$

for every $q, r \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$

RŁ is a conservative expansion of \mathbf{L}_∞

RG is a conservative expansion of *G*

RP is a conservative expansion of *P*

Canonical Completeness

$$\mathbf{R}_L = \langle [0, 1]_L, \{q : q \in [0, 1] \cap Q\} \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{R}_G = \langle [0, 1]_G, \{q : q \in [0, 1] \cap Q\} \rangle \quad \text{i.e. } c_q^{\mathbf{R}^*} = q$$

$$\mathbf{R}_P = \langle [0, 1]_P, \{q : q \in [0, 1] \cap Q\} \rangle$$

Let $\varphi \in Prop_C(X)$

- $\vdash_{RL} \varphi$ iff $\models_{\mathbf{R}_L} \varphi$
- $\vdash_{RG} \varphi$ iff $\models_{\mathbf{R}_G} \varphi$
- $\vdash_{RP} \varphi$ iff $\models_{\mathbf{R}_P} \varphi$

$\mathbf{Q}_L, \mathbf{Q}_G, \mathbf{Q}_P$ denote the rational subalgebras of $\mathbf{R}_L, \mathbf{R}_G$ and \mathbf{R}_P .

Algebraic rational expansions

- $R\mathbf{L}$ is algebraizable and the class RMV of all rational MV-algebras is its equivalent variety semantics. Moreover, $RMV = V(\mathbf{R}_L)$.
- RG is algebraizable and the class RGA of all rational Gödel algebras is its equivalent variety semantics. Moreover, $RGA = V(\mathbf{R}_G)$.
- RP is algebraizable and the class RPA of all rational Product algebras is its equivalent variety semantics. Moreover, $RPA = V(\mathbf{R}_P)$.

$\mathbf{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}, \{a_q : q \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}\} \rangle$ (i.e. $c_q^{\mathbf{A}} = a_q$) is a **rational MV-algebra** (**rational Gödel algebra**, **rational Product algebra**) iff $\mathcal{A} \in MVA$ (GA, PA) and following bookkeeping equations hold:

$$c_q \cdot c_r \approx c_{q*r} \quad c_q \rightarrow c_r \approx c_{q \Rightarrow r} \quad c_0 \approx 0 \quad c_1 \approx 1$$

for every $q, r \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$

Goal

To algebraically study axiomatic and finitary extensions of $R\mathbb{L}$, RG and RP .

To obtain a description of $L_V(RMV)$, $L_V(RGA)$ and $L_V(RPA)$.

To obtain a description of $L_Q(RMV)$, $L_Q(RGA)$ and $L_Q(RPA)$.

To obtain a base of admissible rules for each extension.

Rational Łukasiewicz logic

Canonical standard algebra

Theorem

\mathbf{R}_L is the only standard rational MV-algebra.

Rational definability

Every rational element in $[0, 1]$ is implicitly definable in $[0, 1]_L$

Unique constant interpretation

$C(\mathbf{A})$ denote the constant subalgebra of \mathbf{A}

Corollary

If $\mathbf{A} \in \text{RMV}$ is non trivial, then $C(\mathbf{A}) \cong \mathbf{Q}_L$

Varieties and quasivarieties of RMV

Theorem

RMV has non trivial subvarieties.

$L_V(RMV)$ is a 2-element chain $\{TA, RMV\}$.

$$RMV = V(\mathbf{R}_L) = V(\mathbf{Q}_L) = Q(\mathbf{R}_L) = Q(\mathbf{Q}_L) \\ \cup \\ TA$$

Theorem

$R\mathbf{L}$ is structurally complete.

Corollary

$L_Q(RMV)$ is a 2-element chain $\{TA, RMV\}$.

Rational Gödel Logic

Non canonical standard rational Gödel algebras:

Let $r \in (0, 1]$,

$$\mathbf{R}_{[0,r]} = \langle [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}]_{\mathbf{G}}, \{c_q^{\mathbf{R}_{[0,r]}} : q \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}\} \rangle$$

$c_q^{\mathbf{R}_{[0,r]}} = q$ for each $q \in [0, r] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ and $c_q^{\mathbf{R}_{[0,r]}} = 1$ for each $q \in [r, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$.

$$\mathbf{R}_{[0,1]} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{G}}$$

Let $p \in [0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$,

$$\mathbf{R}_{[0,p]} = \langle [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}]_{\mathbf{G}}, \{c_q^{\mathbf{R}_{[0,p]}} : q \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}\} \rangle$$

$c_q^{\mathbf{R}_{[0,p]}} = q$ for each $q \in [0, p] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ and $c_q^{\mathbf{R}_{[0,p]}} = 1$ for each $q \in (p, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$.

$$\mathbf{R}_{[0,0]} = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}]_{\mathbf{G}}$$

Rational Gödel Logic

$$\mathbf{Q}_r := C(\mathbf{R}_{[0,r]}), \quad r \in (0, 1]$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_p^0 := C(\mathbf{R}_{[0,p]}) \quad p \in [0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$$

If $\mathbf{A} \in RGA$ is non trivial, then either $C(\mathbf{A}) \cong \mathbf{Q}_r$ for some $r \in (0, 1]$ or $C(\mathbf{A}) \cong \mathbf{Q}_p^0$ for some $p \in [0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$.

$$\mathbf{Q}_p^\gamma := \mathbf{Q}_p^0 \oplus \gamma + 1 \quad \gamma \in \omega + 1$$

Varieties of RGA

Theorem

- Every nontrivial variety V of rational Gödel algebras is of the form $V(\mathbf{Q}_r)$ for some $r \in (0, 1]$ or $V(\mathbf{Q}_p^\gamma)$ for some $\gamma \in \omega + 1$ and $p \in [0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Moreover $V(\mathbf{Q}_r) = V(\mathbf{R}_{[0,r]})$ and $V(\mathbf{Q}_p^\omega) = V(\mathbf{R}_{[0,p]})$
- $L_V(\text{RGA})$ is an uncountable chain isomorphic to the poset obtained adding a new bottom element to the Dedekind–MacNeille completion of $([0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}) \times_{\text{lex}} (\omega + 1)$.

Structural completeness

Theorem

- For $r \in (0, 1]$, $V(\mathbf{Q}_r)$ is not structurally complete and $Q(\mathbf{Q}_r)$ is its structural completion.
- For $p \in (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, $V(\mathbf{Q}_p^\gamma)$ is not structurally complete and $Q(\mathbf{Q}_p^\gamma)$ is its structural completion.

Theorem

- A base for the admissible rules of RG_r is given by the following rules:

$$c_q \vee \varphi / \varphi \quad q \in (0, r) \cap \mathbb{Q}$$

- A base for the admissible rules of RG_p^γ is given by the following rule:

$$c_p \vee \varphi / \varphi$$

Quasivarieties of RGA

- $L_V(RGA)$ is a uncountable chain in $L_Q(RGA)$
- $\{Q(\mathbf{Q}_r) : r \in (0, 1]\}$ is an uncountable antichain in $L_Q(RGA)$
- Is RGA Q-universal?

Rational Product Logic

Non canonical standard rational Product algebra:

$$\mathbf{SR}_P = \langle [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}]_P, \{c_q^{\mathbf{SR}_P} : q \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}\} \rangle$$

where $c_q^{\mathbf{SR}_P} = 1$ for every $q \in (0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ and $c_0^{\mathbf{SR}_P} = 0$.

$$C(\mathbf{SR}_P) \cong \mathbf{B}_2 \text{ and } \mathbf{SR}_P = [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}]_P$$

If $\mathbf{A} \in \text{RPA}$ is non trivial, then either

- $C(\mathbf{A}) \cong \mathbf{Q}_P$
- $C(\mathbf{A}) \cong \mathbf{B}_2$

Varieties of RPA

Theorem

$L_V(RPA)$ is a four-element chain.

$$\begin{aligned}
 RPA &= V(\mathbf{R}_P) = V(\mathbf{Q}_P) = Q(\{\mathbf{SR}_P, \mathbf{R}_P\}) \\
 \cup & \\
 PA &= V(\mathbf{SR}_P) \\
 \cup & \\
 BA &= V(\mathbf{B}_2) \\
 \cup & \\
 TA &
 \end{aligned}$$

Structural completeness

Theorem

RP is not structurally complete

Theorem

$Q(\mathbf{Q}_P)$ is the structural completion of RPA.

Theorem

A base for the admissible rules of RP is given by the following rules:

For every $q \in (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$,

$$c_q \vee \varphi / \varphi$$

For every $r \in (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, $n \in \omega$ such that $\sqrt[n]{r}$ is irrational,

$$(c_r \leftrightarrow \alpha^n) \vee \varphi / \varphi$$

Quasivarieties of RPA

Theorem

RPA is Q-universal.

Proof:

$S(\mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(\text{Prime}))$ is the lattice of subalgebras of $\langle \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(\text{Prime}), \cup, \emptyset \rangle$

[Adams-Dziobiak] If \mathbb{K} is a quasivariety and $\mathbb{M} \in L_Q(\mathbb{K})$ and $h: L_Q(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(\text{Prime}))$ is surjective, then \mathbb{K} is Q-universal.

$X = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(\text{Prime}),$

\mathbf{A}_X be the subalgebra of $(\mathbf{R}_P)^n$ generated by $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_n}})$

$\mathbb{M} = Q(\{\mathbf{A}_X : X \in \mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(\text{Prime})\})$

$h: L_Q(\mathbb{M}) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{P}_{<\omega}(\text{Prime})), h(\mathbb{H}) = \{X : \mathbf{A}_X \in \mathbb{H}\}$

Resume and concluding remarks

- $R\mathbb{L}$ is hereditarily structurally complete and has no proper consistent extensions.
- RG is not structurally complete. It has an uncountable chain of axiomatic extensions, and there is an uncountable antichain of finitary extensions. We do not know if RGA is Q -universal.
- RP is not structurally complete. Axiomatic extensions form a 4-element chain. RPA is Q -universal.
- Adding constants may seem innocuous, however it has an impact in structural completeness and the subvariety and subquasivariety lattices.

Thank you

To appear: *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*

Quasivarieties of RGA

$$Q(\mathbf{Q}_G) = Q(\mathbf{R}_G) \subsetneq RGA$$

$c_{\frac{1}{2}} \approx 1 \Rightarrow 0 \approx 1$ is valid in \mathbf{Q}_G but does not hold in $\mathbf{Q}_{\frac{1}{2}} \in RGA$

$$r \in (0, 1], Q(\mathbf{Q}_r) = Q(\mathbf{R}_{[0,r)}) \subsetneq V(\mathbf{Q}_r)$$

$$q \in (0, r) \cap \mathbb{Q},$$

$c_q \approx 1 \Rightarrow 0 \approx 1$ is valid in \mathbf{Q}_r but does not hold in $\mathbf{Q}_q \in V(\mathbf{Q}_r)$.

$$p \in (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \gamma \in \omega + 1, Q(\mathbf{Q}_p^\gamma) \subsetneq V(\mathbf{Q}_p^\gamma)$$

$c_p \approx 1 \Rightarrow 0 \approx 1$ is valid in \mathbf{Q}_p^γ but does not hold in $\mathbf{Q}_p \in V(\mathbf{Q}_p^\gamma)$.

$$Q(\mathbf{Q}_0^\omega) = V([\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}]_G) = GA \text{ and } Q(\mathbf{Q}_0^n) = V(\mathbf{G}_n) = GA_n$$

Quasivarieties of RPA

Proposition

$$Q(\mathbf{Q}_P) \subsetneq Q(\mathbf{R}_P) \subsetneq Q(\{\mathbf{SR}_P, \mathbf{R}_P\}) = RPA$$

Proof:

$c_{\frac{1}{2}} \approx 1 \Rightarrow 0 \approx 1$ is valid in \mathbf{R}_P and does not hold in \mathbf{SR}_P .

$x^2 \approx c_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is valid in \mathbf{Q}_P and does not hold in \mathbf{R}_P . □

Corollary

RP is not structurally complete