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## Boolean contact algebras

Any Boolean algebra is turned into a Boolean contact algebra by expanding it to a structure $\mathfrak{B}=\langle B, \cdot,+,-, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathrm{C}\rangle$ where $\mathrm{C} \subseteq B^{2}$ is a contact relation which satisfies the following five axioms:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\neg(\mathbf{0} \subset x),  \tag{C0}\\
x \leq y \wedge x \neq \mathbf{0} \longrightarrow x \subset y,  \tag{C1}\\
x \subset y \longrightarrow y \mathrm{C} x,  \tag{C2}\\
x \leq y \wedge z \mathrm{C} x \longrightarrow z \mathrm{C} y,  \tag{C3}\\
x \mathrm{C} y+z \longrightarrow x \mathrm{C} y \vee x \mathrm{C} z \tag{C4}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Stronger condition

Our intention is to examine a stronger version of this axiom according to which if $x$ is in contact with the supremum of some family $S$ of regions, then there is $y$ in $S$ that is in contact with $x$. We consider Boolean contact algebras $\mathfrak{B}=\langle B, \cdot,+,-, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathrm{C}\rangle$ which satisfy the following axioms:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\neg(\mathbf{0} C x),  \tag{C0}\\
x \leq y \wedge x \neq \mathbf{0} \longrightarrow x \subset y,  \tag{C1}\\
x \mathrm{C} y \longrightarrow y \mathrm{C} x,  \tag{C2}\\
x \leq y \wedge z \mathrm{C} x \longrightarrow z \mathrm{C} y,  \tag{C3}\\
x \subset \bigvee_{i \in I} x_{i} \longrightarrow(\exists i \in I) \times \mathrm{C} x_{i} \tag{c}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Examples and counterexamples

## Overlap

If $\mathfrak{B}$ is a BCA in which $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \mathrm{O} y: \longleftrightarrow x \cdot y \neq \mathbf{0}, \tag{dfO}
\end{equation*}
$$

then in $\mathfrak{B}$ contact completely distributes over join.

## Relational contact algebras

Given a reflexive and symmetric frame $\langle W, R\rangle$, i.e., a non-empty set of worlds with an accessibility relation $R \subseteq W \times W$ that is reflexive and symmetric, we expand the power set algebra $\mathcal{P}(W)$ with the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A C_{R} B: \longleftrightarrow(\exists x \in A)(\exists y \in B) \times R y . \tag{R}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is routine to verify that $\mathrm{C}_{R}$ satisfies axioms (C0)-(C4), so it is a Boolean contact algebra. Every relational contact algebra belongs to class C4 ${ }^{\mathbf{c}}$.

## The algebra of regular closed subsets

Given a topological space $X$, let $\mathrm{RC}(X)$ be the complete Boolean algebra of all regular closed subsets of $X$, i.e., these $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ for which $A=\mathrm{Cl} \operatorname{lnt} A$. The operations are given by following identities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \cdot B & :=\mathrm{Cl} \operatorname{lnt}(A \cap B), \\
A+B & :=A \cup B, \\
-A & :=\mathrm{Cl}(X \backslash A), \\
\bigvee \mathcal{S} & :=\mathrm{Cl} \bigcup \mathcal{S},
\end{aligned}
$$

For any topological space $X$, its complete Boolean algebra of regular closed subsets of $X$ with

$$
A C B: \longleftrightarrow A \cap B \neq \emptyset,
$$

is a contact algebra.
If $X$ is an Alexandroff space, then $\langle\mathrm{RC}(X), C\rangle$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$.

## Regular-closed sets of $\mathbb{R}$ does not satisfy (C4 ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ )

To show that (C4) does not entail (C4 ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ ) consider the set of reals, the algebra $\langle\mathrm{RC}(\mathbb{R}), \mathrm{C}\rangle$ and the following family of regular closed subsets of the reals:

$$
\mathcal{S}:=\{[-x, x]: 0<x<\sqrt{2}\} .
$$

Since $\bigvee \mathcal{S}=\mathrm{CI} \cup \mathcal{S}=[-\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}]$, we have that:

$$
(-\infty,-\sqrt{2}] \subset \bigvee \mathcal{S} \quad \text { but } \quad \text { for all } S \in \mathcal{S},(-\infty,-\sqrt{2}] \mathscr{C} S
$$

## A zero-dimensional space that fails to meet the axiom

Take the cantor space $2^{\omega}$, i.e., the countable product of the discrete space $\{0,1\}$ with the standard product topology.

- Let Odd be the set of all sequences that begin with odd number of zeroes followed by 1 , and Even be the set of all sequences that begin with even number of zeroes followed by 1 .
- Let ( 0 ) be the constant zero sequence.

Both $O:=\operatorname{Odd} \cup\{(0)\}$ and $E:=$ Even $\cup\{(0)\}$ are regular closed, while both Odd and Even are regular open.
We see that $O C E$. Yet the space is zero-dimensional, so there is a family of clopen sets $\left\{A_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ such that $E=\bigvee_{i \in I} A_{i}$, and none of $A_{i}$ contains ( 0 ).
Thus $O$ is contact with the supremum of all $A_{i}$ 's, but with none of the $A_{i}$ 's themselves.

Every contact algebra can be expanded with the standard binary relation of non-tangential inclusion:

$$
x \ll y: \longleftrightarrow x \not \subset-y .
$$

It is well-know that non-tangential inclusion is a special case of the subordination relation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \ll 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{1} \ll \mathbf{1},  \tag{S1}\\
x \ll y \wedge x \ll z \longrightarrow x \ll y \cdot z,  \tag{S2}\\
x \ll y \wedge z \ll y \longrightarrow x+z \ll y,  \tag{S3}\\
x \leq y \ll z \leq u \longrightarrow x \ll u . \tag{S4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
x \ll y & \longrightarrow x \leq y,  \tag{S5}\\
x \ll y & \longrightarrow-y \ll-x,  \tag{S6}\\
x<y \wedge y \cdot z & =\mathbf{0} \longrightarrow x \mathbb{\ell} z . \tag{S7}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us observe that by means of the non-tangential part of relation we can express ( $\mathrm{C} 4^{\mathrm{c}}$ ) in an alternative form:

$$
(\forall i \in I) x \ll x_{i} \longrightarrow x \ll \bigwedge_{i \in I} x_{i}
$$

We can define a quasi-modal operator $\Delta: B \rightarrow \operatorname{ld}(\mathfrak{B})$

$$
\Delta(x)=\{y: y \ll x\}
$$

and we can express $\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ in an alternative form:

$$
\Delta\left(\bigwedge_{i \in I} x_{i}\right)=\bigcap_{i \in I} \Delta\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

The axiom in extensional algebras

Standardly, what is called the extensionality axiom for BCAs is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall x \in B)(x \subset a \longrightarrow x C b) \longrightarrow a \leq b . \tag{C5}
\end{equation*}
$$

All three following constraints are its equivalents (in the class BCA):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\forall x \neq \mathbf{1})(\exists y \neq \mathbf{0}) x \mathbb{\ell} y, \\
& x \neq \mathbf{0} \longrightarrow(\exists y \in B)(y \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge y \ll x), \\
& x \neq \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow(\exists y \in B)(y \neq \mathbf{1} \wedge x \ll y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Corollary

If $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathbf{B C A}+(C 5)+\left(C 4^{c}\right)$, then for every region $x, x \ll x$.

## Theorem

If $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathbf{B C A}+(\mathrm{C} 5)$, then $\mathfrak{B}$ satisfies the complete distributivity of contact over join if and only if every region of $\mathfrak{B}$ is isolated iff contact and overlap relations coincide.

## Independence

## (C4 ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ ) does not entail (C5)

Take any Boolean algebra with at least 4 elements and extend it with the largest contact relation on any algebra:

$$
x \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}} y: \longleftrightarrow x \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge y \neq \mathbf{0} .
$$

(C5) fails, since the algebra has at least four elements, and thus there is a region $x \neq \mathbf{0}$ such that $-x \neq \mathbf{0}$, and thus every non-zero tangential part of $x$ is in contact with $-x$. If the algebra is either finite or infinite, it is equally easy to see that if $x$ is in contact with $\bigvee_{i \in I} x_{i}$, then there must be non-zero $x_{j}$, for some $j \in I$. And thus $x \mathrm{C} x_{j}$.

For any region $x$ let $C(x)$ be the set of all regions that are in contact with $x$ :

$$
C(x):=\{y \in B \mid y C x\} .
$$

It is easy to see that the axioms (C0), (C3) and (C4) say that:

$$
C(x) \text { is a grill. }
$$

Further, it can be observed that $\left(C 4^{c}\right)$ says that every $C(x)$ is a completely prime grill:

$$
\bigvee_{i \in I} x_{i} \in \mathrm{C}(x) \longrightarrow(\exists i \in I) x_{i} \in \mathrm{C}(x)
$$

From the contact relation to a modal operator

If $\mathfrak{B}$ is a complete $B A$, then $\mathfrak{B}$ satisfies $\left(C 4^{c}\right)$ iff for every region $x$ there exists a unique region $y$ such that

$$
C(x)=O(y)=\{z: z \cdot y \neq 0\}
$$

In particular, every finite Boolean contact algebra satisfies the latter property.
This offers a different axiomatization of the class $\mathbf{C 4}{ }^{\mathbf{c}}$ via axioms for complete BAs plus (C0), (C1), (C2) and:

$$
(\forall x \in B)(\exists y \in B) \mathrm{C}(x)=\mathrm{O}(y)
$$

This entails existence of an operation $m: B \longrightarrow B$ such that:

$$
m(x):=(\iota y) \mathrm{C}(x)=\mathrm{O}(y) .
$$

## Corollary

$m$ is a modal possibility operator.
$m$ does not have to be a closure operator


Let $\mathscr{G}_{1}:=(\downarrow b)^{\complement}$ and $\mathscr{G}_{2}:=(\downarrow c)^{\complement}$. Take $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{G}}$ for $\mathbf{G}:=\left\{\mathscr{G}_{1}, \mathscr{G}_{2}\right\}$ and consider

$$
x \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{G}} y: \longleftrightarrow x \mathrm{Oy} \vee(\exists \mathscr{G} \in \mathbf{G})\{x, y\} \subseteq \mathscr{G} .
$$

We see that:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{G}}(a)=\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{G}}(a b)=\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{G}}(a c)=\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{G}}(b c)=\mathrm{O}(\mathbf{1}) \\
\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{G}}(b)=\mathrm{O}(a b) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{G}}(c)=\mathrm{O}(b c) .
\end{gathered}
$$

In consequence $m(b)=a b$ and $m(m(b))=m(a b)=\mathbf{1}$.

## Properties of the modal operator

More specifically, we have that:

$$
m(x)=\Lambda^{f x} .
$$

Obviously, we have that:

$$
x \mathrm{C} y \longleftrightarrow m(x) \cdot y \neq \mathbf{0}
$$

and so:

$$
x \ll y \longleftrightarrow m(x) \leq y
$$

## Lemma

If $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathbf{C 4}^{\mathbf{c}}$, then $m: B \longrightarrow B$ is a completely additive modal possibility operator such that:
(1) $x \ll m(x)$, and so $x \leq m(x)$, i.e., $x+m(x)=m(x)$,
(2) $m(x) \leq-y \longleftrightarrow m(y) \leq-x$, which is equivalent to $m(-m(-x)) \leq x$.

From a modal operator to the contact relation

## Definition

Any modal algebra $\mathfrak{B}:=\langle B, \diamond\rangle$ whose possibility operator satisfies the following two conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
x \leq \Delta x, \\
\diamond \square x \leq x,
\end{gather*}
$$

where$:=-\diamond-$, is called a $K T B$-algebra.

## Lemma

If $\mathfrak{B}$ is a complete KTB-algebra, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\diamond}:=\{\langle x, y\rangle \mid x \cdot \nabla y \neq \mathbf{0}\} \tag{C}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a contact relation that satisfies $\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$. Moreover, $\diamond=m$, where $m$ is the modal operator for $\mathrm{C}_{\diamond}$ introduced by ( $\mathrm{df} m$ ).

## An isomorphism of categories

## Definition

Given two algebras $\mathfrak{B}_{1}, \mathfrak{B}_{2} \in \mathbf{C} 4^{\mathbf{c}}$, a mapping $h: B_{1} \rightarrow B_{2}$ is a p-morphism ${ }^{a}$ iff it is a homomorphism such that:

$$
\begin{gather*}
h(x) \mathrm{C}_{2} h(y) \longrightarrow x \mathrm{C}_{1} y,  \tag{P1}\\
h(z)<_{2} y \xrightarrow[\left(\exists x \in B_{1}\right)\left(z<_{1} x \wedge h(x) \leq_{2} y\right) .]{ } . \tag{P2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Of course, (P1) is equivalent to:

$$
x<_{1} y \longrightarrow h(x)<_{2} h(y) .
$$

[^0]
## An isomorphism of categories

The class $\mathbf{C 4}{ }^{\text {c }}$ together with $p$-morphisms form a category with the identity functions serving as the identity morphisms.
It is obvious that the class KTB $^{\mathbf{c}}$ of the modal KTB complete algebras together as with the standard homomorphism form a category.

## Theorem

The categories $\mathbf{C 4}^{\mathbf{c}}$ and $\mathbf{K T B}^{\mathbf{c}}$ are isomorphic.

## Resolution contact algebras

## Definition

A partition of a Boolean algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ is any non-empty set $P$ of non-zero and disjoint regions of $B$ that add up to the unity: $\bigvee P=\mathbf{1}$.

Let $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathbf{B C A}^{\mathbf{c}}$ be given, let $P:=\left\{p_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ be its partition. Define:

$$
x C_{P} y: \longleftrightarrow(\exists i \in I)\left(x \bigcirc p_{i} \wedge y \bigcirc p_{i}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\mathrm{df} \mathrm{C}_{P}\right)
$$



Figura: Regions $x$ and $y$ that are in contact with respect to a partition.


Figura: Regions $x$ and $y$ are no longer in contact if we take a finer partition as the frame of reference.

Further, for any region $x$ define

$$
\mathrm{O}_{P}(x):=\left\{p_{i} \in P \mid \times \mathrm{O} p_{i}\right\}
$$

We have that $m(x)=\bigvee O_{P}(x)$, and so if $x \leq p_{i}$, for some $i \in I$, then $m(x)=p_{i}$. If $x$ and $y$ are regions of a pixel algebra $\mathfrak{B}$ with a partition $P$,

$$
\text { then } x C_{P} y \text { iff } m(x) \cdot m(y) \neq \mathbf{0} .
$$

## Definition

Given two partitions, $P$ and $P^{\prime}, P$ is finer that $P^{\prime}$ (in symbols: $P \unlhd P^{\prime}$ ) iff for every $p \in P$ there is a $p^{\prime}$ in $P^{\prime}$ such that $p \leq p^{\prime}$.

Given a sequence of partitions $\left\langle P_{\alpha} \mid \alpha<\kappa\right\rangle$ (with $\kappa$ being a limit ordinal) such that $P_{\alpha+1} \unlhd P_{\alpha}$, with each of them there is associated the contact relation $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ determined by $P_{\alpha}$. We have that:

$$
\beta<\alpha \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathrm{C}_{\beta} .
$$

## Theorem

If $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathbf{R C A}^{\mathbf{c}}$ has a finite resolution $P=\left\{p_{i} \mid i \leqslant n\right\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the Kripke relation on the set Ult $B$ is an equivalence relation and there is a one-to-one correspondence $f: P \rightarrow$ Ult $B / R$ between cells and equivalence classes of ultrafilters.

## Theorem

Given an S5 modal algebra $\mathfrak{B}=\langle B, \diamond\rangle$, its expansion $\mathfrak{B}^{\star}=\left\langle B, \diamond, C_{\diamond}\right\rangle$ can be embbeded into a modal expansion of a resolution algebra.

Thank you!
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[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ The idea of this comes from (Celani, 2001), where similar morphisms are called $q$-morphism.

