

Estratto da

C. Bernardi e P. Pagli (a cura di), *Atti degli incontri di logica matematica*  
Volume 2, Siena 5-8 gennaio 1983, 6-9 aprile 1983, 9-12 gennaio 1984, 25-28  
aprile 1984.

Disponibile in rete su <http://www.ailalogica.it>

### The Veblen Hierarchy and the Stability Theorem for L

Andrea Cantini

Let  $PRS(\omega)$  ( $PRO(\omega)$ ) be the class of primitive recursive set(ordinal) functions (see [JK]), expanded with a constant function whose value is  $\omega$ . The Stability theorem for L states:

for every  $F \in PRS(\omega)$ , there is a  $G_F \in PRO(\omega)$ , which stabilizes F in L, i.e. for every ordinal  $\beta$ ,  $a \in L_\beta$ ,  $F(a) \in L_{G_F(\beta)}$ .

We prove some uniform versions of the theorem, showing that  $G_F$  has a familiar form. Let  $\varphi$  be the classical Veblen Hierarchy of ordinal functions starting with exponential in base  $\omega$  (see [Sc]). As typical corollaries, we have:

A. we can find a primitive recursive function  $g$  on  $\omega$  such that  $F(a) \in L_{\varphi(g(\hat{F}))(\beta+1)}$ , for every  $F \in PRS(\omega)$  with index  $\hat{F}$ , every  $\beta > 0$ ,  $a \in L_\beta$ .

Let  $PRS(\varphi\omega_n : n \in \omega)$  be the extension of  $PRS(\omega)$  with  $\varphi\omega_n$  as new initial function, for every  $n \in \omega$ , where  $\omega_0 = \omega$ ,  $\omega_{n+1} = \omega^{\omega_n}$ . Then we have:

B. we can find a primitive recursive function  $g$  which assigns to the index  $\hat{F}$  of every  $F$  in  $PRS(\varphi\omega_n : n \in \omega)$  the code of an ordinal  $g(\hat{F}) < \epsilon_0$  so that  $\varphi(g(\hat{F}))$  already stabilizes F in L.

Let  $KPN_1$  be the theory of admissible sets above the

natural numbers, which includes 1) the existence of the set of natural numbers and standard Peano axioms; 2) extensionality, pairing, union,  $\Delta_0$ -separation and  $\Delta_0$ -collection,  $\Sigma'_1$ -dependent choice; 3)  $\epsilon$ -induction restricted to  $\Sigma'_1$ -formulas.  $KPN_1^+$  is  $KPN_1$  plus full number-theoretic induction; remark that  $KPN_1$  already proves  $\Pi_1$ - $\epsilon$ -induction.

Lemma 1. If  $F \in PRS(\omega)$  ( $PRS(\varphi\omega; n\epsilon\omega)$ ), we can find uniformly and primitive recursively in  $F$ , a proof in  $KPN_1$  ( $KPN_1^+$ ) of  $\forall x \exists y \Delta_F(x, y)$ , where  $\Delta_F$  is the  $\Sigma'_1$ -formula defining the graph of  $F$ .

This is mainly because  $\Delta_0$ -collection and  $\epsilon$ -induction for  $\Pi_1$ - and  $\Sigma'_1$ -formulas are sufficient to prove a form of the recursion theorem.

Now we apply cut-elimination techniques; we reformulate  $KPN_1$  as a sequent calculus à la Tait (see [Schw]), where sets  $\Gamma$  of formulas are derived.

Due to the form of the rules corresponding to  $\Delta_0$ -collection,  $\Sigma'_1$ -DC and  $\Sigma'_1$ -induction, we cannot eliminate all the cuts; however:

Lemma 2. (Weak Normalization). If  $\Gamma$  is derivable in  $KPN_1$ , then  $\Gamma$  is derivable using cuts only on  $\Pi_1$ - and  $\Sigma'_1$ -formulas. The procedure is primitive recursive.

In the case of  $KPN_1^+$ , in order to get an analogue of 2, we embed the theory in a semiformal system with  $\omega$ -rule; derivations (of finite cut-rank) will be

weakly normalized as in 2, but their length will increase up to any  $\alpha < \xi_0$ . The main step is to read off bounding functions directly from weakly normalized proofs of  $\Pi_2$ -statements, thus avoiding both functional interpretation (see [Ca]) and full formalized cut elimination. We produce an "asymmetric" interpretation which is suggested by the proof of the stability theorem itself (but see [Gi]).

Theorem 3. Let  $f$  be a weakly normalized proof of length  $k < \omega$  in  $KPN_1$ , ending with the set  $\Gamma$ , where each formula in  $\Gamma$  is  $\Sigma'_1$  or  $\Pi_1$ . Then

$$\models \Gamma_{\vec{r}} [\beta, \varphi_k(\beta + |\vec{r}| + 1)] \quad , \text{ for every } \beta > 0, \text{ every } \vec{r} = r_0, \dots, r_j \in L_\beta \text{ (parameters are in the list } \vec{r}\text{)}.$$

Here  $\models \Gamma_{\vec{r}} [\beta, \varphi_k(\beta + |\vec{r}| + 1)]$  means "the disjunction on  $\Gamma$  is true whenever the domain of unrestricted  $\forall (\exists)$  is  $L_\beta$  ( $L_{\varphi_k(\beta + |\vec{r}| + 1)}$ ) and parameters are arbitrary sets in  $L_\beta$ ,  $\epsilon, N_{i=1}^j$  are interpreted in the standard way",  $|\vec{r}| = \sum_{i=0}^j |\tau_i|$  and  $|\tau_i| = \text{order of } \tau_i \text{ in } L$ .

If we deal with  $KPN_1^+$ , just replace  $k$  by  $\alpha < \xi_0$ .

As a special case it follows:

3.1. if  $KPN_1 \vdash \forall x \exists y A(x, y)$  ( $A \in \Delta_0$ ), then it is true for every  $\beta > 0$ ,

$$(+)\ \forall x \in L_\beta \exists y \in L_{\varphi_k(\beta+1)} A(x, y), \text{ where } k \text{ is primitive}$$

recursively found in the given proof. Indeed if

$\beta < \varphi\omega$ , (+) can be proven in  $KPN_1$ . An analogue holds for  $KPN_1^+$ . Propositions 3-1 yield A-B.

By similar methods, but applying  $\Omega_1$ -rule of [BFPS], we can give bounding theorems for set-theoretic  $\Sigma_1$ -definable functions, which are total provably in  $KPN_p$ , ( $p > 1$ ),  $KPN_p$  being the fragment of the full admissible set theory above  $N$ , where  $\epsilon$ -induction is restricted to  $\Sigma'_p$  and  $\Pi_p$  conditions. For  $p > 1$ , we use, instead of Veblen hierarchy, Bachmann hierarchy up to any  $\alpha < \Omega \cdot \underbrace{\Omega}_{p\text{-times}}$ .

#### References

- Jensen-Karp [JK]: Primitive recursive set functions, Axiomatic Set Theory I (ed. D. Scott), PSPM XIII, 1971.
- Schuette [Sc]: Proof Theory, 1977
- Schwichtenberg [Schw]: the paper in: Handbook of mathematical Logic (ed. Barwise), 1977.
- Barwise [Ba]: Admissible sets and Structures, 1975
- Girard [Gi]: A survey of  $\Pi_2^1$ -logic, Hannover 1979, to appear.
- Buchholz-Feferman-Pohlers-Sieg [BFPS]: Iterated inductive definitions and Subsystems of Analysis, 1981
- Cantini [Ca]: A note on the theory of admissible sets with  $\epsilon$ -induction restricted to one quantifier, to appear, Bollettino U.M.I.