Estratto da

C. Bernardi e P. Pagli (a cura di), *Atti degli incontri di logica matematica* Volume 2, Siena 5-8 gennaio 1983, 6-9 aprile 1983, 9-12 gennaio 1984, 25-28 aprile 1984.

Disponibile in rete su http://www.ailalogica.it

HYPERABELIAN ALGEBRAS

Paolo Lipparini

Commutator theory in Universal Algebra gives us the possibility of showing that seemingly different methods and concepts used by ring and group theorists are essentially similar. This, of course, is true of Universal Algebra, but the analogies thus discovered are far less evident than the ones which led to the basic concepts of substructure, congruence... As an example, a consequence of our results is that, in a certain sense, hyperabelian groups are analogues of commutative rings coinciding with their nilradical.

 θ , ψ ... denote (closed) elements of a given closure system \mathscr{A} over A , a, b, x,... elements of A:() means "generated by". We suppose that a monotone binary operation [,] is defined on \mathscr{A} in such a way that i) $[\theta,\theta] \subseteq \theta$; and ii) $[\theta,\psi] \subseteq \psi$ implies $[\theta \lor \psi, \psi \lor \psi] \subseteq \psi$. Examples are the congruence system of an algebra and the commutator ([4]); particularly the normal subgroups of a group and the commutator, or the bilateral ideals of an (associative) ring and [I,J] = IJ + JI.

[a,b] stands for [$\langle a \rangle$, $\langle b \rangle$]; a $^{(0)}$ = $\langle a \rangle$, and a $^{(n+1)}$ = [a $^{(n)}$, a $^{(n)}$]. χ is prime iff $\chi \supseteq [\theta, \psi]$ implies $\chi \supseteq \theta$ or $\chi \supseteq \psi$. This is the same of a prime ideal in ring theory ([2]): if I \supseteq JH +HJ implies I \supseteq J or I \supseteq H, then I \supseteq KL implies I \supseteq LKLK, hence I \supseteq LK.

We define $g(\psi) = \bigvee_{[0,\theta] \leq \psi} \theta$; $g^{0}(\psi) = \psi$; $g^{0}(\psi) = \bigvee_{\beta \in \alpha} g^{\beta}(\psi)$, and $g(\psi) = \bigvee_{\alpha \in On} g^{\alpha}(\psi)$. The following theorem generalizes [3, Th. 2.15], the result of Ščukin quoted there and [2, Lemma 2.2.3]. Putting [M,N] the commutator of M and G, we also obtain [3, Th. 2.19].

COROLLARY. If R is a unitary ring, then $\bigcap \{P \mid P \}$ is a prime ideal of R $\} = \{x_0 \mid \text{if } (x_n)_{n \in W} \text{ is a sequence such that for every new there exist } y_n, z_n, w_n with <math>x_{n+1} = y_n x_n z_n x_n w_n$, then for some $m : x_m = 0\}$ If, in addition, R satisfies the a.c.c. on bilateral ideals, then the intersection of all primes is the largest nilpotent ideal of R.

In groups $\overline{g}(0)$ coincides with the limit of the upper Fitting series, so it is natural to call an algebra hyperabelian iff in its congruence system $\overline{g}(0) = 1$. In this sense, hyperabelian commutative rings are rings consisting of nilpotent elements. Bibliography.

- igl[1igr] G.Gratzer, Universal Algebra, New York 1979 .
- [2] I.N.Herstein, Non Commutative Rings, New York, 1965.
- [3] W.S. Robinson, Finiteness conditions and generalized solvable groups, Berlin 1972.
- [4] W.Taylor, Some applications of the term condition, Alg. Univ., 14 (1982), 11-24.